arXiv:1611.03929v1 [math.OA] 12 Nov 2016

Operational extreme points and Cuntz's canonical endomorphism

Marie Choda

Osaka Kyoiku University, Osaka, Japan
marie@cc.osaka-kyoiku.ac.jp

Abstract

Based on the fact that the Cuntz algebra O_n is generated by the operators consisting of a finite operatorional partition, we study the notion of operational extreme points (which we introduce here) by using several completely positive maps on O_n. As a typical example, we show that the Cuntz's canonical endomorphism Φ_n is an operational extreme point in the set of completely positive maps on O_n and that it induces a completely positive map which is extreme but not operational extreme, etc.

keywords: Positive linear map, convex combination, extreme point
Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 46L55; 52A20, 46L37, 46L40

1 Introduction

From a view point of von Neumann entropy for states of the algebra of $n \times n$ conplex matrices $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, we obtained in [4] some characterizations for unital positive Tr-preserving maps of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, where Tr is the standard trace of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. As one of them, we showed that a positive unital Tr-preserving map Φ of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ preserves the von Neumann entropy of a given state ϕ if and only if $\Phi^* \circ \Phi$ preserves the ϕ, where Φ^* is the adjoint map of Φ with respect to the Hilbert-Schmidt inner product $\langle \cdot\ ,\ \cdot \rangle_{\mathrm{Tr}}$ for $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ induced by Tr.

This is based on the property of the entropy function η that $-\eta$ is an operator convex function (cf. [9]).

By keeping this operator convexity in mind, in this paper, we study the set of completely positive maps on unital C*-algebras. As a generalized notion of extreme points in the usual sense, we define an *operational extreme point* in the set of completely positive maps on unital C*-algebras. As a special case of operational extreme points, the notion of a *numerical operational extreme point* appears. Comparing with the case of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, in the case of infinite dimensional C*-algebras, we can see different plays of completely positive maps.

First we show that a unital $*$-homomorphism of a unital C*-algebra is an operational extreme point.

Our notion of *operational convexity* is based on the *finite operational partition* introduced by Lindblad ([8]) as we describe below.

As one of the most typical non-elementary example for a finite operatorional partition, we pick up here Cuntz's family of isometries and study several unital completely positive (called UCP for short) maps on $O_n, (n \geq 2)$. For example, the canonical shift Φ_n of the Cuntz algebra O_n is an operational extreme point in the set of UCP maps but not a numerical operatorional extreme point.

In the case of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, if Φ is a Tr-preserving $*$-homomorphism of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then the adjoint map Φ^* of Φ is an automorphism so that Φ^* is also an operational extreme point.

Back to the case of O_n, the unique state of O_n with the trace-like property for the canonical UHF-subalgebra F_n of O_n (that is, $\phi_n(ab) = \phi_n(ba),\ a \in O_n, b \in F_n$ (cf. [1])) plays a key role like Tr, and the canonical shift Φ_n is a ϕ_n-preserving map on O_n. We show that the adjoint map Φ_n^* of Φ_n with respect to the $\langle\ \cdot\ ,\cdot\ \rangle_{\phi_n}$ is nothing else but the standard left inverse Ψ_n of $\Phi_n : \Psi_n = \Phi_n^*,\ \Phi_n^* \circ \Phi_n = \mathrm{id}_{O_n}$. However the position of Φ_n^* is different from the case of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and it is not an operational extreme point.

We pick up several UCP maps on O_n and show relations among operational extreme points and the notions treated in discussions related to extreme points in positive maps on C*-algebras in [11].

2 Preliminaries

Here we summarize notations, terminologies and basic facts.

2.1 Finite partition

In order to define a convex combination, we need a probability vector $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n)$: $\lambda_i \geq 0, \quad \sum_i \lambda_i = 1$. Given a finite subset $x = \{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ of a vector space X, the vector $\sum_i \lambda_i x_i$ is a convex sum of x via λ.

Now, we call such a λ as a *finite partition* of 1.

Two generalized notions of finite partition of 1 are given in the framework of the non-commutative entropy as follows:

Let A be a unital C*-algebra.

2.1.1 Finite partition of unity

A finite subset $\{x_1, ..., x_k\}$ of A is called a *finite partition of unity* by Connes-Størmer [6] if they are nonnegative operators which satisfy that $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1_A$.

We denote by $FP(A)$ the set of all finite partitions of unity in A.

2.1.2 Finite operational partition of unity

A finite subset $\{x_1, ..., x_k\}$ of A is called a *finite operational partition* in A of unity of size k by Lindblad [8] if $\sum_i^k x_i^* x_i = 1_A$.

In this note, we pick up a finite subset $\{v_1, ..., v_k\}$ of non-zero elements in A such that $\sum_i^k v_i v_i^* = 1_A$ and call it a *finite operational partition of unity of size* k in A.

The reason to use this version here is that our main target in this note is the Cuntz algebra O_n. Let us denote the set of all finite operational partitions of unity of size k in A by $FOP_k(A)$:

$$FOP_k(A) = \{\{v_1, ..., v_k\} \mid 0 \neq v_i \in A, \forall i, \ \sum_i^k v_i v_i^* = 1_A\}$$

and the set of all finite operational partition of unity in A by $FOP(A)$:

$$FOP(A) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} FOP_k(A).$$

The group $U(A)$ of all unitaries in A is a subset of the most trivial finite operational partition of unity with the size 1, that is, $U(A) \subset FOP_1(A)$.

A linear map Φ on a unital C*-algebra A is positive iff $\Phi(a)$ is positive for all positive $a \in A$ and *completely positive* iff $\Phi \otimes 1_k$ is positive for all

positive integer k, where the map $\Phi \otimes 1_k$ is the map on $A \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C})$ defined by $\Phi \otimes 1_k(x \otimes y) = \Phi(x) \otimes y$ for all $x \in A$ and $y \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$.

Given an operator v, Ad v is the map given by Ad $v(x) = vxv^*$. If the map $\sum_{i=1}^m \mathrm{Ad}\ v_i$ is unital, then $\sum_{i=1}^m v_i v_i^* = 1$, that is, the set $\{v_1, \cdots, v_m\}$ is a finite operational partition of the unity.

3 Operational Convex Combination

3.1 Operational convexity

Definition 3.1. Let A be a unital C*-algebra. For a $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^m \in FOP(A)$ and a set $\{\Phi_i\}_{i=1}^m$ of linear maps on A, we call the map $\sum_{i=1}^m \mathrm{Ad}\ v_i \circ \Phi_i$ an *operational convex combination* of $\{\Phi_i\}_{i=1}^m$ with an *operational coefficients* $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^m$.

We also say that a subset S of linear maps on A is *operational convex* if it is closed under all operational convex combinations.

3.1.1 Operational extreme point

Now let us remember the notion of extreme points.

Let S be a convex set of a vector space X. Then a $z \in S$ is an extreme point in S if z cannot be the convex combination of different points in S, that is, $z \in S$ is an extreme point in S if the following holds:

$$z = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i x_i,\ \{x_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset S,\ \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1,\ 0 < \lambda_i < 1,\ \forall i \qquad (3.1)$$
$$\Longrightarrow\ \ x_i = z,\ \forall i \quad (i.e., \lambda_i x_i = \lambda_i z, \forall i).$$

By replacing a finite partition $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^m$ of 1 to a finite operational partition of the unity and a convex set to an operational convex set S of mappings, we define an *operational extreme point* of S.

In [5], we introduced a notion of operational extreme points for linear maps on the algebra of $n \times n$ complex matrices $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Here, we generalize it as follows:

Definition 3.2. Let S be an operational convex subset of positive linear maps of a unital C*-algebra A into a unital C*-subalgebra B of $B(H)$. We say that a map $\Phi \in S$ is an *operational extreme point* of S if the following holds:

$$\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{Ad}a_i \circ \Psi_i,\ \{\Psi_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset S,\ \{a_i\}_{i=1}^m \in FOP_m(B) \tag{3.2}$$
$$\Longrightarrow\ \ \mathrm{Ad}a_i \circ \Psi_i = z_i\Phi,\ \text{for some } z_i \in \Phi(A)',\quad \forall i,$$

Here $\Phi(A)'$ is the commutant of $\Phi(A)$, i.e, $\{z \in B(H) : zy = yz,\ \forall y \in \Phi(A)\}$.

In the case where we can take $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m$ for Φ as positive real numbers, we call such a Φ a *numerical operational extreme point* of S. This is the case which we discussed in [5].

Remark 3.3. (i) If an operational extreme point Φ is unital, then the set $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m$ in the definition is a finite partition of the unity of $\Phi(A)'$, that is, $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^m$ are nonnegative operators in $\Phi(A)'$ and $\sum_i z_i$ is the unity of $\Phi(A)'$.

In fact, each z_i is nonnegative by the relation $\mathrm{Ad}a_i \circ \Psi_i(1) = z_i\Phi(1) = z_i$ and $\sum_i z_i = \sum_i \mathrm{Ad}a_i \circ \Psi_i(1) = \Phi(1) = 1$.

(ii) A numerical operational extreme point is a special case of an operational extreme point, and an operational extreme point of UCP maps is clearly an extreme point of UCP maps. As we showed in [5] and also we show later, an extreme point is not neccesaly an operational extreme point.

Proposition 3.4. *Let A be a unital* C*-algebra and let Φ be a unital $*$-homomorphism of A into $B(H)$. Assume that Ψ is a completely positive map of A into $B(H)$ such that $\Phi - \Psi$ is also completely positive. Then there is a unique $z \in \Phi(A)'$ with $0 \le z \le 1_H$ such that $\Psi(x) = z\Phi(x)$ for all $x \in A$.

Proof. By a similar method to that in [11, Section 3.5] (cf. [2]), we give a proof. Let (π, V, K) be the minimal Stinespring representation of Ψ, i.e, π is a representation of A on $B(K)$ for a Hilbert space K and $V : H \to K$ is a bounded linear map with $\Psi(a) = V^*\pi(a)V$ such that K is spaned by $\{\pi(A)VH\}$. Since $\Phi - \Psi$ is CP and Φ is a *-homomorphism, we have that

for all finite subsets $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^m \subset A$ and $\{\xi_j\}_{j=1}^m \subset H$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\sum_{j=1}^m \pi(a_j)V\xi_j\|^2 &= <\sum_{i,j=1}^m V^*\pi(a_i^*a_j)V\xi_j, \xi_i> \\
&= <\sum_{i,j=1}^m \Psi(a_i^*a_j)\xi_j, \xi_i> \\
&\leq <\sum_{i,j=1}^m \Phi(a_i^*a_j)\xi_j, \xi_i> \\
&= <\sum_{i,j=1}^m \Phi(a_i^*)\Phi(a_j)\xi_j, \xi_i> = \|\sum_{j=1}^m \Phi(a_j)\xi_j\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Again, by using that Φ is a unital *-homomorphism, we have a unique contraction $T : H \to K$ such that $T\Phi(a)\xi = \pi(a)V\xi$ for all $a \in A$ and $\xi \in H$. By taking $a = 1$, we have $T = V$ and $T\Phi(a) = \pi(a)T$ for all $a \in A$.

Let $z = T^*T$. Then $0 \leq z \leq 1$ and $z \in \Phi(A)'$ by the following:

$$z\Phi(a) = T^*T\Phi(a) = T^*\pi(a)T = \Phi(a)T^*T = \Phi(a)z, \quad (a \in A).$$

On the other hand, since $T^*\pi(a)T = V^*\pi(a)V = \Psi(a)$, it follows that $\Psi(a) = z\Phi(a)$ for all $a \in A$ and the uniqueness of such a z comes from the fact that Φ is unital. □

The above proof shows that $z = V^*V$ for the minimal Stinespring representation (π, V, K) of Ψ.

As an application, we have the following:

Corollary 3.5. *A unital $*$-homomorphism of a unital C*-algebra A into $B(H)$ is an operational extreme point in the operational convex hull of completely positive maps of A into $B(H)$.*

Proof. Let Φ be a unital $*$-homomorphism of a unital C*-algebra A into $B(H)$. Assume that Φ is given as an operational convex conbination: $\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^m \mathrm{Ad}\ a_i \circ \Psi_i$ with a finite operational partition $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^m$ in $B(H)$ and completely positive maps $\{\Psi_i\}_{i=1}^m$ of A to $B(H)$. Then for each i, the two maps $\mathrm{Ad}\ a_i \circ \Psi_i$ and $\Phi - \mathrm{Ad}\ a_i \circ \Psi_i$ are completely positive maps. Hence by the above proposition, there exists a unique $z_i \in \Phi(A)'$ with $0 \leq z_i \leq 1$ which satisfies that $\mathrm{Ad}\ a_i \circ \Psi_i = z_i\Phi$. This means that Φ is an operational

extreme point in the operational convex set consisting of operational convex combinations of completely positive maps of A to $B(H)$. $\square$

Now let ϕ be a faithful state of a unital C*-algebra A. Then the state ϕ induces the Hilbert-Schmidt inner product for A by

$$< x, y >= \phi(y^*x), \quad (x, y \in A).$$

For a ϕ-preserving linear map Ψ of A, the adjoint map Ψ^* is given by

$$< \Psi^*(x), y >=< x, \Psi(y) >, \quad (x, y \in A).$$

Let $\mathrm{Aut}(A, \phi)$ be the set of all automorphisms Θ of A such that $\phi \circ \Theta = \phi$.

By remarking that the adjoint map of a *-homomorphism is not always a *-homomorphism as we give examples in the next section, here we show the following:

Lemma 3.6. *Let ϕ be a faithful state of a unital C*-algebra A. Then for each $\Theta \in \mathrm{Aut}(A, \phi)$, the adjoint map Θ^* of Θ with respact to ϕ is in $\mathrm{Aut}(A, \phi)$.*

Proof. Let $\Theta \in \mathrm{Aut}(A, \phi)$. Since $< \Theta^*(x), y >=< x, \Theta(y) >= \phi(\Theta(y^*)x) = \phi(\Theta(y^*)\Theta(\Theta^{-1}(x))) = \phi(\Theta(y^*\Theta^{-1}(x))) = \phi(y^*\Theta^{-1}(x)) =< \Theta^{-1}(x), y >$ for all $x, y \in A$, we have that $\Theta^*(x) = \Theta^{-1}(x)$ for all $x \in A$ so that $\Theta^*(xy) = \Theta^{-1}(xy) = \Theta^{-1}(x)\Theta^{-1}(y) = \Theta^*(x)\Theta^*(y)$ for all $x, y \in A$. The property that $\phi \circ \Theta^* = \phi$ comes from that $\phi(\Theta^*(x)) =< \Theta^*(x), 1 >=< x, \Theta(1) >= \phi(x)$. $\square$

By combining this lemma and the above Corollary, we have the following:

Proposition 3.7. *Let ϕ be a faithful state of a unital C*-algebra A. Then for each $\Theta \in \mathrm{Aut}(A, \phi)$, the adjoint map Θ^* of Θ with respact to ϕ is an operational extreme point in the operational convex hull of completely positive maps of A.*

3.2 Cuntz algebras

The Cuntz algebra O_n ([7]) is given as the C*-algebra generated by $n (n \geq 2)$ isometries $\{S_1, \cdots, S_n\}$ on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space H such that $\sum_i S_i S_i^* = 1_H$, that is, O_n is the C*-algebra generated by an operational partition of unity with the size n.

Let W_n^k be the set of k-tuples $\mu = (\mu_1, ..., \mu_k)$ with $\mu_m \in \{1, ..., n\}$, and W_n be the union $\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} W_n^k$. If $\mu \in W_n^k$ then $|\mu| = k$ is the length of μ. If $\mu = (\mu_1, ..., \mu_k) \in W_n$, then S_μ is an isometry with range projection $P_\mu = S_\mu S_\mu^*$.

For a given $\beta \in W_n^l$ and i, j with $1 \leq i < j \leq l$, we let

$$\beta_{(i,j)} = (\beta_i, \cdots, \beta_j).$$

Denote by F_n^k the C*-subalgebra of O_n spanned by all words of the form $S_\mu S_\nu^*,\ \mu, \nu \in W_n^k$, which is isomorphic to the matrix algebra $M_{n^k}(\mathbb{C})$.

The norm closure F_n of $\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} F_n^k$ is the UHF-algebra of type n^∞, and the unique tracial state τ_n of F_n is extended to the unique state ϕ_n with the trace-like property for F_n that $\phi_n(ab) = \phi_n(ba), (a \in O_n, b \in F_n)$ (cf. [1]).

The state ϕ_n induces the Hilbert-Schmidt inner product for O_n by

$$\langle x, y \rangle \ = \ \phi_n(y^* x), \quad (x, y \in O_n),$$

and the adjoint map Φ^* of a ϕ_n-preserving linear map Φ of O_n is given by

$$\langle \Phi(x), y \rangle = \langle x, \Phi^*(y) \rangle, \quad (x, y \in O_n).$$

3.2.1 Cuntz's canonical endomorphism

The Cuntz's canonical endomorphism Φ_n ([7]) is an interesting example in unital completely positive maps of infinite dimensional simple C*-algebras, which is given as an operational convex combination of the identity:

$$\Phi_n(x) = \sum_i S_i x S_i^*, \quad (x \in O_n).$$

The map Ψ_n on O_n given by the form

$$\Psi_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_i^* x S_i, \ (x \in O_n)$$

is called the *standard left inverse* of Φ_n because $\Psi_n \circ \Phi_n$ is the identity map on O_n. The UCP map Ψ_n is also an operational convex combination of the identity map.

Here, we show that the standard left inverse Ψ_n of the Cuntz canonical endmorphism Φ_n plays a role of Φ_n^*.

Proposition 3.8. (i) *The Cuntz's canonical $*$-endmorphism Φ_n preserves the state ϕ_n, that is, $\phi_n \circ \Phi_n = \phi_n$.*

(ii) *Ψ_n is the adjoint map of Φ_n with respect to the state ϕ_n.*

Proof. (i) This is trivial and we used this fact already in [3].

(ii) By using the fact in [1] that $\phi_n(x) = \lim_{m\to\infty} \Psi_n^m(x)$ for all $x \in O_n$, this is shown as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Phi_n(x), y\rangle &= \phi_n(y^*\Phi_n(x)) \\
&= \lim_{m\to\infty} \Psi_n^m(y^*\Phi_n(x)) \\
&= \lim_{m\to\infty} \Psi_n^{m-1}(\Psi_n(y^*\Phi_n(x))) \\
&= \lim_{m\to\infty} \Psi_n^{m-1}(\frac{1}{n}\sum_j S_j^*(y^*\sum_i S_i x S_i^*)S_j) \\
&= \lim_{m\to\infty} \Psi_n^{m-1}(\Psi_n(y^*)x) \\
&= \phi_n(\Psi(y)^*x) = \langle x, \Psi_n(y)\rangle\,, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in O_n.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.9. The two kinds of properties for positive maps on C*-algebras are treated in the discussions on extreme points in [11].

One is called a *Jordan homomorphism* and the other is called *irreducible*:

A self-adjoint linear map Φ on a C*-algebra A is called a *Jordan homomorphism* if $\Phi(a^2) = \Phi(a)^2$ for all self-adjoint $a \in A$. A Jordan homomorphism of a unital C*-algebra A is an extreme point of the unit ball of positive maps on A ([11, Proposition 3.1.5]).

A positive map $\Phi : A \to B(H)$ is called to be *irreducible* if $\Phi(A)'$ is the scalar operators.

Here we list up several properties of Cuntz's canonical endomorphism Φ_n which are related to extremalities in CP maps of O_n.

Proposition 3.10. i) *The canonical endomorphism Φ_n is not irreducible.*

ii) *The Φ_n is an operational extreme point but not a numerical operational extreme point in the set of completely positive maps on O_n.*

iii) *The adjoint map Φ_n^* of Φ_n is not an operational extreme point in the set of completely positive maps on O_n.*

Moreover, Φ_n^* is not even a Jordan homomorphism.

Proof. i) For each i, the projection $S_iS_i^*$ is contained in $\Phi_n(O_n)'$. In fact, for all $x \in O_n$, $S_iS_i^*\sum_j S_jxS_j^* = S_ixS_i^* = \sum_j S_jxS_j^*S_iS_i^*$.

ii) Since Φ_n is a *-endomorphism, it is an operational extreme point by the Corollary in the previous section.

Assume that Φ_n is a numerical operational extreme point in the operational convex hull of completely positive maps of O_n into $B(H)$. Then we have an n-tupple $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1,\cdots,n}$ of positive real numbers such that $S_i x S_i^* = \lambda_i \Phi_n(x)$ for all $x \in O_n$. Hence $S_i S_i^* = \lambda_i$ for each $i = 1, \cdots, n$, which contradicts that $\{S_i S_i^*; i = 1, \cdots, n\}$ are mutually orthogonal projections.

iii) Assume that the adjoint map Φ_n^* is an operational extreme point. By remembering the fact that Φ_n^* is the left inverse $\Psi_n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Ad}\, S_i^*$ of Φ_n, we have an n-tupple $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \Psi_n(O_n)'$ such that $\frac{1}{n} S_i^* x S_i = z_i \Psi_n(x)$ for all $x \in O_n$, which implies that $z_i = \frac{1}{n}1$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, n$ so that $S_i^* x S_i = \Psi_n(x)$ for all $x \in O_n$ and $i = 1, \cdots, n$. It does not hold. In fact, if $j \neq i$, then $0 = S_i^* S_j S_j^* S_i = \Psi_n(S_j S_j^*) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_k S_k^* S_j S_j^* S_k = \frac{1}{n} 1_{O_n}$.

Let us pick up the projection $p_1 = S_1 S_1^*$. If Φ_n^* is a Jordan homomorphism, then $\Phi_n^*(p_1^2) = \Phi_n^*(p_1)^2$ must hold. However, the relation that $\Phi_n^* = \Psi_n$ implies that $\Phi_n^*(p_1^2) = \Psi_n(p_1^2) = \Psi_n(p_1) = \frac{1}{n} 1_{O_n}$ and so $\Psi_n(p_1)^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} 1_{O_n}$, which contradicts that $\Psi_n(p_1)^2 = \Psi_n(p_1) = \frac{1}{n} 1_{O_n}$. □

Remark 3.11. A positive map Φ on a C*-algebra A is said to be *extremal* if the only positive maps Ψ on A, such that $\Phi - \Psi$ is positive, are of the form $\lambda\Phi$ with $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. In the set of all positive maps on $B(H)$ for a Hilbert space H, the map $\mathrm{Ad}\, u, (u \in B(H))$ is extremal [11, Proposition 3.1.3].

As an example of a completely positive map which is not a Jordan homomorphism but an operational extreme point in UCP maps on O_n, we show the following:

Proposition 3.12. *Assume that Φ is the map on O_n given by $\Phi(x) = S_i^* x S_i$, for some $i = 1, \cdots, n$. Then*

(i) *Φ is a UCP map on O_n, which is not a Jordan homomorphism of O_n.*

(ii) *Φ is a numerical operational extreme point in the CP maps on O_n.*

Proof. Denote by S the S_i.

(i) Let us consider the self-adjoint operator $S + S^* \in O_n$. Then

$$\Phi((S+S^*)^2) = S^*(S^2 + S^{*2} + SS^* + 1_{O_n})S = S^2 + 2(1_{O_n}) + S^{*2}$$

and $(\Phi(S+S^*))^2 = S^2 + S^{*2} + SS^* + 1_{O_n}$. Since S is an isometry but not unitary, it implys that $\Phi((S+S^*)^2) \neq (\Phi(S+S^*))^2$. Hence Φ is not a Jordan homomorphism.

(ii) Assume that $\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{Ad}\ a_i \circ \Psi_i$ for some integer m, $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^m \in FOP_m(O_n)$ and CP maps $\{\Psi_i\}_{i=1}^m$ of O_n. The Φ is extremal in the positive maps on $B(H)$ ([11]) so that it is extremal in the CP maps on O_n. Hence, for each i, we have some $\lambda_i, (0 < \lambda_i < 1)$ such that $\mathrm{Ad}a_i \circ \Psi_i = \lambda_i \Phi$. This means that Φ is a numerical operational extreme point in the CP maps on O_n. □

At the last, we show another role of the Cuntz's canonical shift Φ_n. The following map Φ composed of Φ_n and $\mathrm{Ad}S_1^*$ is a UCP map on O_n which is an extreme point but not an operational extreme point of the UCP maps. This is an extended version of the example in [5] for the case of matrix algebras.

Proposition 3.13. *Let Φ be the map on O_n given by $\Phi = \Phi_n \circ \mathrm{Ad}\ S_1^*$. Then*
(i) $\Phi^2 = \Phi$ *and* $\Phi_n = \Phi \circ \mathrm{Ad}\ S_1$.
(ii) Φ *is an extreme point of the set of UCP maps on O_n.*
(iii) Φ *is not an operational extreme point of the UCP maps on O_n. More precisely it is not numerical operational extreme.*

Proof. (i) These are clear by the properties of the $\{S_1, ..., S_n\}$ and the relation $\mathrm{Ad}\ S_1^* \circ \Phi_n = \mathrm{Ad}\ S_1^* S_1$.

(ii) The following relations hold for all $\alpha, \beta \in W_n$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi(S_\alpha S_\beta^*) \\
&= \begin{cases} 0, & \alpha_1 \neq 1 \text{ or } \beta_1 \neq 1 \\ \Phi_n(S_{\alpha_{(2,|\alpha|)}} S^*_{\beta_{(2,|\beta|)}}), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} 0, & \alpha_1 \neq 1 \text{ or } \beta_1 \neq 1 \\ S_\alpha S_\beta^* + \sum_{i=2}^n S_i S_{\alpha_{(2,|\alpha|)}} S^*_{\beta_{(2,|\beta|)}} S_i^*, & \alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 1 \\ S_\alpha S_1 S_1^* + \sum_{i=2}^n S_i S_{\alpha_{(2,|\alpha|)}} S_1 S_i^*, & \alpha_1 = 1, |\beta| = 0 \\ S_1 S_1^* S_\beta^* + \sum_{i=2}^n S_i S_1^* S^*_{\beta_{(2,|\beta|)}} S_i^*, & |\alpha| = 0, \beta_1 = 1. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Now let $\Phi = \lambda\Psi + \lambda'\Psi'$, $(0 < \lambda < 1,\ \lambda' = 1 - \lambda,\ \Psi, \Psi' \in \mathrm{UCP}(O_n))$. Then by using the standard left inverse Ψ_n of Φ_n, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ad}\ S_1^* &= \Psi_n \circ \Phi_n \circ \mathrm{Ad}\ S_1^* = \Psi_n \circ \Phi \\
&= \lambda \Psi_n \circ \Psi + \lambda' \Psi_n \circ \Psi' \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda}{n} \mathrm{Ad}\ S_i^* \circ \Psi + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda'}{n} \mathrm{Ad}\ S_i^* \circ \Psi'
\end{aligned}$$

so that $\frac{\lambda}{n}\mathrm{Ad}S_i^* \circ \Psi = \mu_i \mathrm{Ad}\ S_1^*$ for some $0 < \mu_i < 1$ because Ad S_1^* is extremal. Since Ad $S_i^* \circ \Psi$ and Ad S_1^* are unital, we have that $\frac{\lambda}{n} = \mu_i$, which implies that Ad $S_i^* \circ \Psi =$ Ad S_1^* for all i so that

$$\text{Ad } S_i^* \circ \Psi = \text{Ad } S_1^* = \text{Ad } S_i^* \circ \Psi' = \text{Ad } S_i^* \circ \Phi, \ (i = 1, \cdots, n).$$

Remark that Φ_n is an operational extreme point in the completely positive maps on O_n so that an extreme point. Since

$$\Phi_n = \Phi_n \circ \text{Ad } S_1^* \circ \text{Ad } S_1 = \Phi \circ \text{Ad } S_1 = \lambda \Psi \circ \text{Ad } S_1 + \lambda' \Psi' \circ \text{Ad } S_1,$$

it implies that

$$\Phi_n = \Psi \circ \text{Ad } S_1 = \Psi' \circ \text{Ad } S_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi = \Psi \circ \text{Ad } S_1 S_1^* = \Psi' \circ \text{Ad } S_1 S_1^*.$$

If $\alpha_1 \neq 1$, then

$$0 = \Phi(S_\alpha S_\beta^* S_\beta S_\alpha^*) \geq \lambda \Psi(S_\alpha S_\beta^* S_\beta S_\alpha^*) \geq 0$$

so that $\Psi(S_\alpha S_\beta^* S_\beta S_\alpha^*) = 0$. By using the Kadison-Schwartz inequality

$$0 = \Psi(S_\alpha S_\beta^* S_\beta S_\alpha^*) \geq \Psi(S_\alpha S_\beta^*) \Psi(S_\beta S_\alpha^*)$$

which implies that $\Psi(S_\alpha S_\beta^*) = 0$. Similarly, $\Psi(S_\alpha S_\beta^*) = 0$ if $\beta_1 \neq 1$ so that

$$\Psi(S_\alpha S_\beta^*) = 0 = \Psi'(S_\alpha S_\beta^*) \quad \text{if } \alpha_1 \neq 1 \text{ or } \beta_1 \neq 1.$$

If $\alpha_1 = 1$, then
$\Psi(S_\alpha S_1 S_1^*) = \Psi(S_1 S_{(\alpha_2, |\alpha|)} S_1 S_1^*) = \Psi \circ \mathrm{Ad} S_1(S_{(\alpha_2, |\alpha|)} S_1)$.
On the other hand, since $\Psi \circ \mathrm{Ad} S_1 = \Phi_n$ and $\Psi(S_\alpha S_i S_i^*) = 0$ if $i \neq 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(S_\alpha) &= \Psi(S_\alpha S_1 S_1^*) + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \Psi(S_\alpha S_i S_i^*) = \Psi(S_\alpha S_1 S_1^*) \\ &= \Psi \circ \mathrm{Ad} S_1(S_{(\alpha_2, |\alpha|)} S_1) = \Phi_n(S_{(\alpha_2, |\alpha|)} S_1) = \Phi(S_\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

and a similar relation holds for Ψ' so that

$$\Psi(S_\alpha) = \Phi(S_\alpha) = \Psi'(S_\alpha) \quad \text{if } \alpha_1 = 1.$$

If $\alpha_1 = 1 = \beta_1$, then $S_\alpha S_\beta^* = S_1 S_1^* (S_\alpha S_\beta^*) S_1 S_1^*$. Hence by the relation that $\Psi \circ \mathrm{Ad}S_1 S_1^* = \Psi' \circ \mathrm{Ad}S_1 S_1^* = \Phi$, we have that

$$\Psi(S_\alpha S_\beta^*) = \Phi(S_\alpha S_\beta^*) = \Psi'(S_\alpha S_\beta^*) \quad \text{if } \alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 1.$$

As a consequence, these relations show that the map Φ is an extreme point of the set of UCP maps on O_n.

(iii) The Φ is given as an operational convex combination $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Ad}S_i \circ \mathrm{Ad}S_1^*$ via a finite operational partition $\{S_1, \cdots, S_n\}$ and the UCP map $\mathrm{Ad}S_1^*$. If Φ is a numerical operational extreme point of the UCP maps on O_2, then there exists $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$ such that $0 < \lambda_i < 1$, $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ and $\mathrm{Ad}S_i S_i^* = \lambda_i \Phi$ for all i. Hence $S_i S_i^* = \lambda_i 1_{O_n}$ for all i which contradict the definition of $\{S_1, \cdots, S_n\}$. □

References

[1] R. J. Archbold, *On the simple* C* algebras of J. Cuntz, Proc. London Math. Soc. **21** (1980), 517–526.

[2] W. B. Arveson, *Subalgebras of C*-algebras*, Acta Math. **123**(1969), 141 –224.

[3] M. Choda, *Entropy of Cuntz's canonical endomorphism*, Pacific J. Math. **190**(1999), 235–245.

[4] M. Choda, *Around Shannon's interpretation for entropy-preserving stochastic averages*, Internat. J. Math., **25**(2014), no.10, 1450096.

[5] M. Choda, *Operational extreme points of unital completely positive maps*, arXive 1602.00365v2.

[6] A. Connes and E. Størmer, *Entropy of* II_1 *von Neumann algebras*, Acta Math., **134** (1975), 289–306.

[7] J. Cuntz, *Simple* C*-*algebras generated by isometries*, Comm. Math. Phys. **57** (1977), 173–185.

[8] G. Lindblad, *Non-Markovian stochastic processes and their entropy*, Comm. Math. Phys., **65**(1979), 281–294.

[9] S. Neshveyev and E. Størmer, *Dynamical Entropy in Operator Algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2006).

[10] M. Ohya and D. Petz, *Quantum Entropy and its Use*, Texts and Monographs in Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1993).

[11] E. Størmer, *Positive Linear Maps of Operator Algebras*, Springer SMM Berlin Heidelberg (2013).